

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 1593 661005.90951 Nick J. Huige 03/20/2001 09/812,349 EXAMINER 08/30/2004 26710 7590 SHERRER, CURTIS EDWARD **QUARLES & BRADY LLP** 411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 2040** MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-4497 1761

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/812,349	HUIGE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq.	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addr	ess
Period for Reply	DIVIS SET TO EXPIRE 2 M	NITH(S) FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this come ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	6/02/04.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 31-42 is/are pending in the	he application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 31-42</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTC)-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of: 2. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies.	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National S	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date	= 1 61 (* 2)	nformal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)

Application/Control Number: 09/812,349

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 and 31-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Al's Red (web.archive.org/web/1999100608325 . . . angelfire.com/pa/beerandweather/red) in view of Pritchett (USPN 1976091).

Al's Red is the recipe for a malt-based beer that includes 1 ounce of oak chips that are added to the wort and boiled for 15 minutes. The wort is then cooled and fermented. The chips are first baked, i.e., toasted. It is considered that the limitation directed to performing the process in a "brew kettle" is met as this is broadly interpreted to be any container used during the brewing process.

Al's Red does not teach placing the oak chips in a porous container.

Pritchett teaches that oak chips can be placed in a fabric container for mellowing beverages. (Fig. 2 and page 1, line 81 to page 2, line 18). It would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to place the oak chips used in Al's Red in the porous bag of Pritchett "for its convenient removal" after its use.

The recipe cited above is silent as to when the oak chips are removed and is further silent as to whether the chips are of French or American origin. As to the origin of the oak chips, it is notoriously well known in the fermenting beverage art to use either

Application/Control Number: 09/812,349

Art Unit: 1761

American or French chips when adding flavor and therefore it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to use either type of chip as they are commonly used. See *In re Levin*.

As to when the chips are removed, selection of any order of performing process steps is prima facie obvious in the absence of new or unexpected results, *In re Burhans*, 154 F.2d 690, 69 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1946). Therefore, for the above stated reason, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to remove the chips either before the fermentation step or after the fermentation step.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 31-42 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1761

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq. whose telephone number is 571-272-1406. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

> Curtis E. Sherrer, Esq. **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1761